



Crime in the United States 2001

Crime

22 rape 33,584

motor vehicle theft

54 50,480

53%

property crime

assault

258.5

88

903,984

3

72,702

6.3%

theft

arson

24.36

100

40,980

95%

larceny

violent crime

713

2,890

murder

33.3%

robbery

204

Release date: Monday, October 28, 2002

806

Crime in the United States

2001

Uniform Crime Reports



Printed Annually
Federal Bureau of Investigation
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20535

Advisory:
Criminal Justice Information Systems Committee,
International Association of Chiefs of Police;
Criminal Justice Information Services Committee,
National Sheriffs' Association;
Criminal Justice Information Services Advisory Policy Board

Foreword

Like every other organization and individual in the United States, the FBI has struggled to comprehend the events of September 11, 2001. Apart from investigating the crime scenes in New York City; Somerset County, Pennsylvania; and Arlington County, Virginia (the Pentagon); following leads, and addressing a myriad of concerns resulting from these attacks, the FBI through its Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program has struggled with how to report the data to the public. Begun in 1929, the UCR Program captures criminal offenses, which include murder and nonnegligent manslaughter, forcible rape, aggravated assault, robbery, burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft, reported to local or state law enforcement agencies. In its original design, the creators of the Program probably could not conceive of heinous attacks of domestic or international terrorism being committed within the confines of this Nation. Theirs was a national crime data collection system based on the cooperation of city, county, and state law enforcement agencies voluntarily reporting crimes that were a product of the society of the time. However, that society has evolved into a more complex, global society of the twenty-first century that is faced with fighting crimes that previously had been unimaginable. The FBI recognizes that the UCR Program must evolve to be able to capture the crimes of this modern era. As it currently exists, the UCR Program is limited in its ability to report the offenses committed at the World Trade Center, in the airways above Pennsylvania, and at the Pentagon. Recognizing the limitations of the Program, yet also recognizing that many agencies and researchers will have a specific, nontraditional application for the statistical data associated with these offenses, the FBI has compiled a special report, which can be found in Section V of this publication. For the most part, the data associated with the events of September 11, 2001, are not included in the standard tables found in *Crime in the United States*. The number of deaths is so great that combining it with the traditional crime statistics will have an outlier effect that falsely skews all types of measurements in the Program's analyses.

September 11 will always be remembered as a tragic day in the history of this Nation. However, the attacks did serve as a wake-up call to law enforcement and the American public with regard to the shortcomings in the measures we take to protect our country from harm. It has reminded us that we must transform these measures to meet new realities. The UCR Program recognizes that it, too, must transform and equip itself to contend with ever-changing realities. In the coming years, the Program will address these issues and recommit itself to the task of serving, in the best way possible, this Nation and its law enforcement agencies.

Crime Factors

Each year when *Crime in the United States* is published, many entities—news media, tourism agencies, and other groups with an interest in crime in our Nation—use reported Crime Index figures to compile rankings of cities and counties. These rankings, however, are merely a quick choice made by the data user, and they provide no insight into the many variables that mold the crime in a particular town, city, county, state, or region. Consequently, these rankings lead to simplistic and/or incomplete analyses which often create misleading perceptions adversely affecting cities and counties, along with their residents. To assess criminality and law enforcement's response from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, one must consider many variables, some of which, while having significant impact on crime, are not readily measurable nor applicable pervasively among all locales. Geographic and demographic factors specific to each jurisdiction must be considered and applied if one is going to make an accurate and complete assessment of crime in that jurisdiction. Several sources of information are available that may assist the responsible researcher in exploring the many variables that affect crime in a particular locale. The U.S. Bureau of the Census data, for example, can be utilized to better understand the makeup of a locale's population. The transience of the population, its racial and ethnic makeup, its composition by age and gender, education levels, and prevalent family structures are all key factors in assessing and comprehending the crime issue.

Local chambers of commerce, planning offices, or similar entities provide information regarding the economic and cultural makeup of cities and counties. Understanding a jurisdiction's industrial/economic base, its dependence upon neighboring jurisdictions, its transportation system, its economic dependence on nonresidents (such as tourists and convention attendees), its proximity to military installations, correctional facilities, state penitentiaries, prisons, jails, etc., all contribute to accurately gauging and interpreting the crime known to and reported by law enforcement.

The strength (personnel and other resources) and the aggressiveness of a jurisdiction's law enforcement agency are also key factors. Although information pertaining to the number of sworn and civilian law enforcement employees can be found in this publication, it cannot alone be used as an assessment of the emphasis that a community places on enforcing the law. For example, one city may report more crime than a comparable one, not because there is more crime, but rather because its law enforcement agency through proactive efforts identifies more offenses. Attitudes of the citizens toward crime and their crime reporting practices, especially concerning more minor offenses, have an impact on the volume of crimes known to police.

It is incumbent upon all data users to become as well educated as possible about how to understand and quantify the nature and extent of crime in the United States and in any of the nearly 17,000 jurisdictions represented by law enforcement contributors to this Program. Valid assessments are possible only with careful study and analysis of the various unique conditions affecting each local law enforcement jurisdiction.

Historically, the causes and origins of crime have been the subjects of investigation by many disciplines. Some factors that are known to affect the volume and type of crime occurring from place to place are:

- Population density and degree of urbanization.
- Variations in composition of the population, particularly youth concentration.
- Stability of population with respect to residents' mobility, commuting patterns, and transient factors.
- Modes of transportation and highway system.
- Economic conditions, including median income, poverty level, and job availability.

Cultural factors and educational, recreational, and religious characteristics.
Family conditions with respect to divorce and family cohesiveness.
Climate.
Effective strength of law enforcement agencies.
Administrative and investigative emphases of law enforcement.
Policies of other components of the criminal justice system (i.e., prosecutorial, judicial, correctional, and probational).
Citizens' attitudes toward crime.
Crime reporting practices of the citizenry.

The Uniform Crime Reports give a nationwide view of crime based on statistics contributed by state and local law enforcement agencies. Population size is the only correlate of crime utilized in this publication. Although many of the listed factors equally affect the crime of a particular area, the UCR Program makes no attempt to relate them to the data presented. *The reader is, therefore, cautioned against comparing statistical data of individual reporting units from cities, counties, metropolitan areas, states, or colleges and universities solely on the basis of their population coverage or student enrollment.* Until data users examine all the variables that affect crime in a town, city, county, state, region, or college or university, they can make no meaningful comparisons.

Data users are cautioned against comparing crime trends presented in this report and those estimated by the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), administered by the Bureau of Justice Statistics. Because of differences in methodology and crime coverage, the two programs examine the Nation's crime problem from somewhat different perspectives, and their results are not strictly comparable. The definitional and procedural differences can account for many of the apparent discrepancies in results from the two programs.

The national Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program would like to hear from you.

The staff at the national UCR Program are continually striving to improve their publications. We would appreciate it if the primary user of this publication would complete the evaluation form at the end of this book and either mail it to us at the indicated address or fax it: 304-625-5394.

Contents

Section I—Summary of the Uniform Crime Reporting(UCR) Program	1
Section II—Crime Index Offenses Reported	9
Narrative comments:	
Crime Index Total	10
Violent Crime:	14
Murder and nonnegligent manslaughter	19
Forcible rape	29
Robbery	32
Aggravated assault	37
Property Crime:	40
Burglary	44
Larceny-theft	48
Motor vehicle theft	53
Arson	56
Hate Crime	59
Crime Index Tabulations	64
Figures:	
(2.1) Crime clock, 2001	7
(2.2) Crime Index total, 1997-2001	15
(2.3) Crime Index offenses, percent distribution, 2001	16
(2.4) Regional violent and property crime rates, 2001	17
(2.5) Violent crime, 1997-2001	18
(2.6) Murder, 1997-2001	20
(2.7) Murder by relationship, 2001	25
(2.8) Forcible rape, 1997-2001	30
(2.9) Robbery, 1997-2001	34
(2.10) Robbery categories, 1997-2001	36
(2.11) Aggravated assault, 1997-2001	39
(2.12) Property crime, 1997-2001	41
(2.13) Burglary, 1997-2001	45
(2.14) Burglary residential/nonresidential, 1997-2001	46
(2.15) Larceny-theft, 1997-2001	49
(2.16) Larceny-theft categories, 1997-2001	50
(2.17) Larceny-theft analysis, 2001	52
(2.18) Motor vehicle theft, 1997-2001	55
(2.19) Bias-motivated offenses, 2001	61
Tables:	
(2.1) Crime Index total by month, percent distribution, 1997-2001	10
(2.2) Violent crime total by month, percent distribution, 1997-2001	14
Murder:	
(2.3) Month, percent distribution, 1997-2001	19
(2.4) Victims, by race and sex, 2001	20
(2.5) Victims, by age, sex, and race, 2001	21
(2.6) Offenders, by age, sex, and race, 2001	21
(2.7) Victim/offender relationship, by age, 2001	22

Tables—Continued

(2.8) Victim/offender relationship, by race and sex, 2001	22
(2.9) Types of weapons used, percent distribution by region, 2001	23
(2.10) Victims, by weapon, 1997-2001	23
(2.11) Victims by age, by weapon, 2001	23
(2.12) Circumstances, by relationship, 2001	24
(2.13) Circumstances, by weapon, 2001	26
(2.14) Circumstances, 1997-2001	27
(2.15) Circumstances, by victim's sex, 2001	27
Justifiable homicide by weapon, 1997-2001:	
(2.16) Law enforcement	28
(2.17) Private citizen	28
Forcible rape:	
(2.18) Month, percent distribution, 1997-2001	29
Robbery:	
(2.19) Month, percent distribution, 1997-2001	32
(2.20) Region, percent distribution, 2001	33
(2.21) Population group, percent distribution, 2001	34
(2.22) Types of weapons used, by region, percent distribution, 2001	35
Aggravated assault:	
(2.23) Month, percent distribution, 1997-2001	37
(2.24) Types of weapons used, by region, percent distribution, 2001	38
(2.25) Property crime total by month, percent distribution, 1997-2001	40
Burglary:	
(2.26) Month, percent distribution, 1997-2001	44
Larceny-theft:	
(2.27) Month, percent distribution, 1997-2001	48
(2.28) Percent distribution by region, 2001	49
Motor vehicle theft:	
(2.29) Month, percent distribution, 1997-2001	53
(2.30) Region, percent distribution, 2001	54
Arson:	
(2.31) Rate, by population group, 2001	56
(2.32) Type of property, 2001	57
Hate Crime:	
(2.33) Number of incidents, offenses, victims, and known offenders, by bias motivation, 2001	60
(2.34) Number of offenses, victims, and known offenders, by offense, 2001	60
(2.35) Number of known offenders, by race, 2001	61
(2.36) Agency hate crime reporting, by state, 2001	62
Index of crime:	
(1) United States, 1982-2001	64
(2) United States, 2001	65
(3) Offense and population distribution by region, 2001	65
(4) Region, geographic division, and state, 2000-2001	66
(5) State, 2001	76
(6) Metropolitan Statistical Area, 2001	87
(7) Offense analysis, United States, 1997-2001	117
Offenses known to law enforcement:	
(8) City 10,000 and over in population, 2001	118

Tables—Continued

(9) University and college by state, 2001	165
(10) Suburban county by state, 2001	177
(11) Rural county 25,000 and over in population, 2001	192
Crime trends:	
(12) Population group, 2000-2001	201
(13) Suburban and nonsuburban cities, by population group, 2000-2001	203
(14) Suburban and nonsuburban counties, by population group, 2000-2001	205
(15) Breakdown of offenses known, by population group, 2000-2001	206
Rate: number of crimes per 100,000 inhabitants:	
(16) Population group, 2001	209
(17) Suburban and nonsuburban cities, by population group, 2001	211
(18) Suburban and nonsuburban counties, by population group, 2001	212
(19) Breakdown of offenses known, by population group, 2001	213
(20) Murder, by state, 2001, type of weapon	215
(21) Robbery, by state, 2001, type of weapon	216
(22) Aggravated assault, by state, 2001, type of weapon	217
(23) Offense analysis, number and percent change, 2000-2001	218
(24) Property stolen and recovered, by type and value, 2001	218
Section III—Crime Index Offenses Cleared	219
Narrative comments	
Figure:	
(3.1) Crimes cleared by arrest, 2001	221
Tables:	
Percent of offenses cleared by arrest or Exceptional Means:	
(25) Population group, 2001	222
(26) Geographic region and division, 2001	224
(27) Breakdown of offenses known, by population group, 2001	226
(28) Number of offenses cleared by arrest, of persons under 18 years of age, by population group, 2001	228
Section IV—Persons Arrested	231
Narrative comments	
(4.1) Arrests for drug abuse violations, by region, 2001	232
Tables:	
(29) Estimated arrests, United States, 2001	233
Number and rate of arrests:	
(30) Geographic region, 2001	235
(31) Population group, 2001	236
Ten-year arrest trends:	
(32) Totals, 1992-2001	238
(33) Sex, 1992-2001	239
Five-year arrest trends:	
(34) Totals, 1997-2001	240
(35) Sex, 1997-2001	241

Tables—Continued

Current year over previous year arrest trends:	
(36) Totals, 2000-2001	242
(37) Sex, 2000-2001	243
Arrests:	
(38) Age, 2001	244
(39) Males, by age, 2001	246
(40) Females, by age, 2001	248
(41) Persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age, 2001	250
(42) Sex, 2001	251
(43) Race, 2001	252
City arrest trends:	
(44) 2000-2001	255
(45) Sex, 2000-2001	256
City arrests:	
(46) Age, 2001	257
(47) Persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age, 2001	259
(48) Sex, 2001	260
(49) Race, 2001	261
Suburban county arrest trends:	
(50) 2000-2001	264
(51) Sex, 2000-2001	265
Suburban county arrests:	
(52) Age, 2001	266
(53) Persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age, 2001	268
(54) Sex, 2001	269
(55) Race, 2001	270
Rural county arrest trends:	
(56) 2000-2001	273
(57) Sex, 2000-2001	274
Rural county arrests:	
(58) Age, 2001	275
(59) Persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age, 2001	277
(60) Sex, 2001	278
(61) Race, 2001	279
Suburban area arrest trends:	
(62) 2000-2001	282
(63) Sex, 2000-2001	283
Suburban area arrests:	
(64) Age, 2001	284
(65) Persons under 15, 18, 21, and 25 years of age, 2001	286
(66) Sex, 2001	287
(67) Race, 2001	288
(68) Police disposition of juvenile offenders taken into custody, 2001	291
(69) Arrests, by state, 2001	292
Section V—Special Report	301
Narrative comments	

Tables—Continued

Tables:

Murder Victims of 9/11/2001 Terrorist Attacks	
(5.1) Race, Sex, and Location, 2001	302
(5.2) Total All Locations by Age, Sex, and Race, 2001	304
(5.3) New York City World Trade Center by Age, Sex, and Race, 2001	304
(5.4) Pentagon by Age, Sex, and Race, 2001	305
(5.5) Somerset County, Pennsylvania by Age, Sex, and Race, 2001	305
Murder Offenders of 9/11/2001 Terrorist Attacks	
(5.6) by Age, 2001	306
Injuries from Violent Crime, 2000, Number of Victims	
(5.7) by Selected Offense and Injury Type, 2000	308
(5.8) by Location and Injury Type, 2000	309
(5.9) by Weapon and Injury Type, 2000	310
(5.10) by Victim Age, Sex, and Race and Injury Type, 2000	311
(5.11) Percent Distribution of Victims by Relationship of the Victim to the Offender and Injury Type, 2000	312
(5.12) by Offender Age, Sex, and Race and Injury Type, 2000	313
Section VI—Law Enforcement Personnel	315
Narrative comments	
Tables:	
Full-time law enforcement employees as of October 31, 2001:	
(70) Employees, number and rate per 1,000 inhabitants, geographic region and division by population group	318
(71) Officers, number and rate per 1,000 inhabitants, geographic region and division by population group	319
(72) Employees, range in rate per 1,000 inhabitants by population group	320
(73) Officers, range in rate per 1,000 inhabitants by population group	321
(74) Employees, percent male and female by population group	322
(75) Civilian employees, percent of total by population group	323
(76) State law enforcement employees	324
(77) Law enforcement employees by state	326
(78) City by state	327
(79) University and college by state	399
(80) Suburban county by state	405
(81) Rural county by state	412
(82) Other agencies by state	430
Section VII—Appendices	433
Appendix I—Methodology	434
Appendix II—Offenses in Uniform Crime Reporting	446
Appendix III—Uniform Crime Reporting Area Definitions	448
Appendix IV—The Nation’s Two Crime Measures	451
Appendix V—Directory of State Uniform Crime Reporting Programs	454
Appendix VI—National Uniform Crime Reporting Program Directory	461
Appendix VII—Uniform Crime Reporting Publications List	462

U.S. Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Investigation
1000 Custer Hollow Road
Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306-0001

**PRESORTED
MEDIA MAIL
POSTAGE & FEES PAID**
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Permit No. G-168

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300

Return Service Requested

